

On the Issue of Helicobacter Pylori–Associated Gastritis of the Gastric Stump and its Treatment Strategy

Raupov Abdurahmon Ortiq o'g'li

Bukhara State Medical Institute named after Abu Ali ibn Sina, Uzbekistan, Bukhara, st. A. Navoi
raupov.abdurahmon@bsmi.uz

Received: 2025, 15, Sep
Accepted: 2025, 21, Oct
Published: 2025, 06, Nov

Copyright © 2025 by author(s) and BioScience Academic Publishing. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution International License (CC BY 4.0).



Open Access

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

Annotation: This article discusses the pathogenesis, clinical features, and treatment approaches of Helicobacter pylori–associated gastric stump gastritis. The persistence of H. pylori infection after gastric surgery plays a significant role in chronic inflammation and mucosal atrophy. Timely diagnosis and the use of targeted anti-Helicobacter therapy are crucial to prevent disease progression and postoperative complications. The study emphasizes the need for individualized therapeutic strategies based on microbial resistance patterns and patient-specific factors.

Keywords: Helicobacter pylori, gastric stump gastritis, anti-Helicobacter therapy.

In recent years, significant progress has been achieved in gastroenterology, accompanied by changes in the understanding of the **etiological factors and pathogenetic mechanisms** of digestive system diseases, leading to substantial advancements in their **diagnosis and treatment**. Despite these achievements, chronic inflammatory diseases of the gastrointestinal tract remain among the most common pathologies in the adult population. This issue is also highly relevant in our country, as the incidence of gastroenterological diseases has increased by **53% over the past decade** [18].

The introduction of experimental research findings into clinical practice and the improvement of diagnostic techniques have contributed to notable progress in addressing many problems of modern gastroenterology. New functional and morphological methods have broadened the understanding of **etiological and pathogenetic aspects** of gastrointestinal diseases. For a long time, these factors were considered to include **dietary, allergic, genetic, neuropsychological, and immunological disorders**, as well as **discoordination of gastric and duodenal motility**.

The discovery of *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) and its crucial role in the **pathogenesis of**

chronic gastritis, peptic ulcer disease, and gastric cancer, made by Australian scientists **B. Marshall and I. Warren in 1983**, represented a true revolution in the understanding of the etiology of digestive system diseases. This discovery paved the way for the development of **new and more effective pathogenetic principles of treatment and prevention** in gastroenterology.

Since the discovery of *H. pylori*, more than two decades have passed, during which numerous studies have been published on the problems of **Helicobacter infection** [27]. Nevertheless, despite extensive research, new aspects of *H. pylori*'s pathogenic influence on various mechanisms of **gastroduodenal pathology** continue to emerge. Recently, increasing attention has been paid to the role of *H. pylori* in the development of **post-gastrectomy and post-vagotomy complications** of inflammatory, dystrophic, and dysplastic nature [5,7,8,12,16,28,29,38]. However, the results of published epidemiological studies remain inconsistent. The exact relationship between *H. pylori* and **gastric cancer**, as well as the intermediate pathogenetic stages leading from precancerous mucosal changes to carcinoma, remain unclear [4,12,31,39].

H. pylori infection induces an **acute inflammatory response** in the gastric mucosa of most infected individuals. In a subset of patients with persistent infection, **active chronic gastritis** develops, leading to mucosal atrophy and consequently increasing the risk of **adenoma, carcinoma, and gastric MALT lymphoma** [2,23].

Based on global research, **P. Correa** proposed in 1988 the paradigm of **gastric carcinogenesis**, now known as the **Correa cascade**. According to this model, the progression of chronic gastritis results in the development of **atrophy and intestinal metaplasia** of the gastric mucosa. The metaplastic epithelium then undergoes further **genetic and phenotypic alterations**, resulting in **dysplasia**, which may progress to invasive carcinoma. Subsequently, Correa modified his model to include *H. pylori* as the initiating factor in this specific sequence of pathological processes [5,7,12,39].

Although this model is well-established and widely recognized, many questions remain regarding the **diagnostic identification of the critical stages** in the cascade of gastric epithelial atrophy and dysplasia. Therefore, identifying patients who have undergone **gastric resection** and require **long-term surveillance** for the early detection of preneoplastic changes in the gastric mucosa has become increasingly important. Despite this, the factors contributing to **inflammatory and atrophic processes** in the mucosa of the operated stomach remain **insufficiently studied** to date [1,13].

The history of gastric surgery has evolved in such a way that **gastrectomy** has become virtually the only widely used operative method for gastric pathology. Despite the large number of operations performed, it is now possible to critically evaluate this intervention in light of its long-term consequences. Undoubtedly, gastrectomy performed according to strict indications results in the lowest rate of functional disorders. However, the issue of **post-gastrectomy pathology** remains highly significant today, as a substantial number of patients continue to suffer from various syndromes requiring treatment, despite the decline in the frequency of both elective and emergency surgeries.

Most patients with this pathology undergo **long-term outpatient examinations and treatments** for diverse symptoms and diagnoses, which often leads to missed opportunities for timely diagnosis and adequate therapy. Many authors [1,15,21,25,26] emphasize that an **individualized treatment approach** should be based on an objective analysis of **clinical and pathogenetic features** that determine disease development and prognosis in each patient. Such an approach directs researchers toward studying **individual characteristics** influencing disease progression and developing **methods of differential diagnosis and treatment** in accordance with the modern understanding of the **clinical and pathogenetic heterogeneity** of the condition [12].

At the same time, among **general practitioners and local physicians**, attitudes toward

previously operated patients remain ambiguous [13,22,30]. It is well known that in the vast majority of patients after gastrectomy, **chronic gastritis of the gastric stump** develops relatively quickly [1,13,23,26,29]. It is generally accepted that this results from the **removal of the antral part**, leading to a sharp decrease in adequate stimulation of the **fundic glands** [24]. The **atrophy of the gastric stump mucosa** progresses more intensively in middle-aged and elderly patients and is directly proportional to the time elapsed since surgery [10,17].

According to the literature [5], **90% of chronic gastritis cases** are caused by *Helicobacter pylori* (*H. pylori*) infection, and **atrophic gastritis** is not an inevitable outcome of mucosal aging but is determined specifically by bacterial infection. The activity of the **inflammatory process** in the distal stomach is closely associated with the bacterial load in the gastric mucosa [20,36]. Based on these findings, a reasonable assumption arises regarding the association between *H. pylori* infection and **inflammatory, atrophic, and dysregenerative changes** in the mucosa of the operated gastric stump [13].

Several authors [7,22] have identified a **synergistic effect** between *Helicobacter* infection and **bile reflux**, which induces **reflux gastritis**, suggesting an **increased risk of neoplastic transformation** in the gastric stump mucosa. In this regard, it is becoming essential to **identify patients after gastrectomy** who require **regular dynamic monitoring** for the early detection of such mucosal changes [3,5,7,15,31,32].

In **1994**, experts from the **International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, WHO)** classified *Helicobacter pylori* infection as a **Group I carcinogen** for humans. It was established that the **risk of gastric cancer (adenocarcinoma)** in patients infected with this bacterium increases **threefold** [3,4,11,12,31]. This is particularly important considering that, according to statistical data, **around 1 million people die annually** from gastric cancer worldwide [31]. Moreover, data regarding the relationship between *H. pylori* infection rate, the degree of mucosal colonization, and the **morphological changes** in the gastric stump mucosa remain **limited and contradictory** [13,21,22,32].

The recurrent course of post-gastrectomy syndromes, the presence of severe complications, and the resulting temporary disability and early invalidity of patients highlight not only the medical but also the socio-economic significance of this problem [14]. A systematic examination of patients who have undergone gastric surgery, along with efforts aimed at early prevention and detection, can lead to significant improvements in treatment outcomes [1,26].

Modern therapy for *Helicobacter* infection in the unoperated stomach consists of oral combinations of various antibacterial drugs. Despite the undeniable success of *H. pylori* eradication therapy, the currently used triple or quadruple regimens cannot be considered fully optimal [6,9,10,18,19,35,37]. The results of many years of research indicate that adequate antibacterial therapy, by eradicating *H. pylori*, leads to a reduction or complete elimination of chronic inflammation in the gastric mucosa, as well as a decrease in dystrophic, atrophic, and dysregenerative changes [10,37,40].

Therefore, the question arises regarding the use of anti-*Helicobacter* therapy for the gastric stump mucosa to reduce dysregenerative changes, which in turn may improve the clinical course of the disease and alter the clinico-morphological characteristics of the gastric stump mucosa [10,37]. This approach would allow the development of a prognostic algorithm, determine indications for eradication therapy in patients after gastrectomy for peptic ulcer disease, and enable individualized rehabilitation programs, thereby improving the treatment outcomes of patients with post-gastrectomy syndromes.

Currently, available data indicate that adequate antibacterial therapy, by eradicating *H. pylori*, results in a reduction of chronic inflammation in the gastric mucosa [38,22]. This provides a strong rationale for recommending anti-*Helicobacter* therapy in patients after surgical treatment of peptic ulcer disease. The presence of *H. pylori* infection and the detection of inflammatory

and dystrophic changes in the mucosa of the resected stomach make it reasonable to propose eradication therapy for this category of patients. However, this recommendation often remains underutilized [1,14], as the role of *H. pylori* in the development of dysregenerative changes in the mucosa of the resected stomach is still insufficiently studied [13,19].

Conclusion: *Helicobacter pylori* infection plays a crucial role in the development and progression of chronic gastritis, including gastritis of the gastric stump after gastrectomy. Despite significant progress in gastroenterology, the mechanisms underlying post-gastrectomy inflammatory and atrophic processes remain insufficiently studied. The persistent presence of *H. pylori*, along with factors such as bile reflux and mucosal atrophy, contributes to chronic inflammation, dysregeneration, and an increased risk of neoplastic transformation in the operated stomach. The implementation of anti-*Helicobacter* eradication therapy in patients after gastric resection can significantly reduce mucosal inflammation and degenerative changes, improving both clinical outcomes and long-term prognosis. Therefore, early detection of *H. pylori* infection, regular endoscopic and histological monitoring, and the application of individualized eradication and rehabilitation programs should be considered essential components in the management of patients with post-gastrectomy syndromes. Continued clinical and experimental research is necessary to further clarify the pathogenetic mechanisms and optimize therapeutic strategies for this category of patients.

REFERENCES:

1. *Аброян Н.А., Хмельницкая Т.Г.* Эндоскопические и морфологические характеристики хеликобактериоза гастрита культи желудка // Новые технологии в неотложной хирургии и гинекологии: Сб. работ / Под ред. А.Е Борисова. – СПб., 1999. – № 2. – Р.124-129.
2. *Аброян Н.А., Фомина В.М., Хмельницкая Т.Г.* Особенности лечения хеликобактериоза резецированного желудка // Новые технологии в неотложной хирургии и гинекологии: Сб. работ / Под ред. А.Е Борисова. – СПб, 1999. – С.107-112.
3. *Аксёнов О.С., Молчанов В.В., Хибин Л.С.* Эрадикационная терапия *Helicobacter pylori* при язвенной болезни и её влияние на структурное состояние слизистой оболочки желудка // Рос. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатол., колопроктол. – 2000. – № 3. – С.24-27.
4. *Аруин Л.И., Капуллер Л.Л., Исаков В.А.* Морфологическая диагностика болезней желудка и кишечника. – М.: Триада-Х, 1998. – С.80-85, 272.
5. *Аруин Л.И.* Патоморфология желудка и тонкой кишки при постгастрорезекционных синдромах: Дисс.... д-ра мед. наук. – М., 1971. – 292 с.
6. *Аруин Л.И., Григорьев П.Я., Исаков В.А. и др.* Хронический гастрит. – Амстердам, 1993. – 62 с.
7. *Аруин Л.И.* *Helicobacter pylori* и предраковые изменения желудка // Диагностика и лечение заболеваний, ассоциированных с *Helicobacter pylori* / Второй Международный симпозиум «Современные проблемы физиологии и патологии пищеварения». – М., 1999. – С.33-37.
8. *Бабак О.Я.* Нужна ли антихеликобактерная терапия при хронических гастритах // Сучасна гастроэнтерол. – 2001. – № 3. – С.9.
9. *Бардахчян Э.А., Камнева Н.В., Харланова Н.Г.* Современные аспекты лечения хеликобактериоза // Эксперим. и клин. гастроэнтерол. – 2003. – № 2. – С.22-28.
10. *Барановский А.Ю., Кондрашина Э.А., Протопопова О.Б.* Сравнение эффективности различных схем эрадикации хеликобактериоза у больных язвенной болезнью желудка // Матер. 3 Росс. науч. форума «Санкт-Петербург Гастро-2001». – СПб, 2001. – С.13.

11. Баранская Е.К., Ивашкин В.Т. Клинический спектр предраковой патологии желудка // Рос. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатол., колопроктол. – 2002. – № 3. – С.7-14.
12. Бурдина Е.Г., Минушкин О.Н., Денисов Л.Е. Роль Helicobacter Pylori в онкотрансформации поражений слизистой оболочки желудка // Матер. научно-практ. конф., посвящённой 30-летию УНЦ МЦ УД Президента РФ. – 1998. – С.73-74.
13. Григорьев П.Я., Яковенко О.В. Справочное руководство по гастроэнтерологии. – М.: Изд-во МИА, 1997. – 476 с.
14. Григорьев П.Я., Агрба В.З., Исаков В.А. и др. Некоторые особенности эндоскопической диагностики язвенной болезни и хронического гастрита, ассоциированных с Campilobacter pylori // Тер. архив. – 1989. – № 11. – С.65-69.
15. Григорьев П.Я., Яковенко Э.П., Лядов К.В. Кислотозависимые и ассоциированные с H.pylori заболевания органов пищеварения. Конспект врача. Вып. 38 (958) / / Медицинская газета. – 2003. – № 44-45.
16. Данилович К.К. Предраковые состояния органов пищеварения. Формирование групп повышенного риска. – Минск: Технопринт, 1996. – С.43-62.
17. Денисов Л.Е., Минушкин О.Н., Бурдина Е.Г. и др. Формирование групп риска по раку желудка // Кремлёвская медицина. – 1999. – № 1. – С.13-17.
18. Жуковицкий В.Г. Helicobacter pylori и хеликобактериоз // Международные мед. обзоры. – 1993. – Т. 1, № 5. – С.371-377.
19. Ивашкин В.Т., Лапина Т.Л. Helicobacter pylori – от научных исследований к клинической практике // Диагностика и лечение. – М., 1996. – Т. 2. – С.3-10.
20. Ивашкин В.Т., Положенцев С.Д., Султанов В.К. и др. О патогенной роли Helicobacter pylori // Тер. архив. – 1993. – № 2. – С.11-13.
21. Ивашкин В.Т., Исаков В.А. Основные положения 2 Маастрихтского соглашения: какие рекомендации по лечению заболеваний, ассоциированных с Helicobacter pylori, нужны в России? // Росс. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатолог., колопроктол. – 2001. – Т. 11, № 3. – С.77-85.
22. Иншаков Л.Н., Масевич Ц.Г., Аброян Н.А. Эндоскопические и гистологические изменения слизистой оболочки культи желудка при инфицировании Helicobacter pylori // Вестник хир. – 1999. – Т. 158, № 2. – С.13-16.
23. Иншаков Л.Н., Глушаков Н.И., Рудас В.И. Особенности фиброэндоскопии оперированного желудка // Сб. матер. научно-практ. конф. «Акт. вопросы практической медицины». – 1998. – С.76-78.
24. Исаков В.А. Современная антихеликобактерная терапия // Клин. фармакология и терапия. – 2002. – Т. 11. – С.37-43.
25. Исаков В.А. Новые технологии в диагностике инфекции H.pylori // Диагностика и лечение заболеваний, ассоциированных с Helicobacter pylori / Второй Международный симпозиум «Современные проблемы физиологии и патологии пищеварения». – М., 1999. – С.12-15.
26. Куренков Е.Л. // Росс. журнал гастроэнтерологии, гепатологии, колопроктологии. – 2000. – Т. X, № 2. – С.18-25.
27. Кузьмин@Крутецкий М.И., Глушков Н.И., Успенская А.Р. Эндоскопия оперированного желудка. Учебное пособие. – СПб., 2001. – 40 с.

28. *Кудрявцева Д.В., Исаков В.А., Щербаков П.Л.* Динамика резистентности штаммов *Helicobacter pylori* к антибиотикам у городского населения России // Рос. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатол., колопроктол. – 1999. – № 4. – С.66-70.
29. *Курилович С.А., Шлыкова Л.Г., Копычко Т.А.* Реальные проблемы Нр-эрадикации // Рос. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатол., колопроктол. – 2000. – Т. X, № 5. – С.25. – Приложение № 11 (Матер. 6 Росс. Гастроэнтеролог. недели).
30. *Лапина Т.Л.* Язвенная болезнь: возможности лечения на пороге нового века // Concilium medicum. – 2000. – Т. 2, № 7.
31. *Мегро Ф.Р.* Является ли проблемой резистентность *Helicobacter pylori* к антибиотикам // Рос. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатол., колопроктол. – 1999. – № 3. – С.74-78.
32. *Мягкова Л.П., Лапина Т.Л.* Эффективность лечения язвенной болезни, сочетающейся с пилорическим хеликобактериозом, с учётом отдалённых результатов / Судьба больных после стационарного лечения и оперативных вмешательств. – Смоленск, 1996. – С.139-141.
33. *Минушкин О.Н., Денисов Л.Е., Бурдина Е.Г. и др.* // Росс. журнал гастроэнтерологии, гепатологии, колопроктологии. – 2001. – Т. X1, № 2. – С.34-37.
34. *Носкова Л.К.* Состояние слизистой оболочки желудка у больных с язвенной болезнью до и после операции // Акт. вопросы хирургии гепатопанкреатодуоденальной зоны. – Красноярск, 2001. – С.200-202.
35. *Пасечников В.Д., Чуков С.З., Правдина И.А.* Гистологический и микробиологический контроль эффективности метода «тройной терапии» хронического хеликобактерного гастрита // Рос. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатол., колопроктол. – 1998. – Т. 9, № 4. – С.28-31.
36. *Пасечников В.Д., Котелевец С.М., Чуков С.З.* Морфофункциональные проявления атрофии слизистой оболочки желудка при *H.pylori* -ассоциированном гастрите // Рос. журн. гастроэнтерол., гепатол., колопроктол. – 2004. – Т. 14, № 1. – С.26-32.
37. *Поташов Л.В., Морозов В.П., Савранский В.М.* Хеликобактериоз в хирургической гастроэнтерологии. – СПб.: Судостроение. – 1999. – 143 с.
38. *Реут А.А., Теплякова Е.Н.* *Helicobacter pylori* у больных с язвенной болезнью до и после резекции желудка // Акт. вопросы хирургии гепатопанкреатодуоденальной зоны. – Красноярск, 2001. – С.198-200.
39. *Реут А.А., Теплякова Е.Н.* *Helicobacter pylori* у больных в отдалённые сроки после резекции желудка // Вестник ассоциации хирургов Иркутской области. – 2001. – С.38-40.
40. *Салупере В.В.* Клиническая гастроэнтерология. – Таллинн: Валгус, 1998. – 285 с.