American Journal of Biology and Natural Sciences
https://biojournals.us/index.php/AJBNS
ISSN: 2997-7185

Evaluating Institutional Performance in Hospitals

Aliaa A. Abdulqadir
M.B.Ch.B, MS, PhD, Basra health directorate, Basra, Iraq

Nihad kasim alhamadi
M.B.Ch.B, PGDCM, Deputy Director, Basra health directorate, Basra, Iraq

Received: 2024, 15, Nov
Accepted: 2024, 21, Nov
Published: 2024, 14, Dec

Annotation: Purpose: To elaborate on the current debate on performance within healthcare institutions, aimed at increasing understanding of institutional dimensions that may be part of evaluation. The analysis endeavors to update the reader regarding the database and national results.

Design/Methodology/Approach: Analysis of the dataset and results of new measures are introduced, providing a concise overview of the findings.

Findings: Findings have revealed that organizational performance is, in the majority of cases, poor. Non-parametric tests provide a base on which to make comparisons. Very few institutions perform well, suggesting that these remain significant to policymakers due to their apparent extensive success.

Implications: Implications for present and future healthcare management and policy are discussed.

Originality: Serves to contribute to the debate on the importance of measurement, public reporting of performance, benchmarking, and patient choice, and regarding various aspects of the healthcare

system, including investment, pricing, managerialism, and devolution.

1. Introduction to Institutional Performance Evaluation in Hospitals

In recent decades, governments across the globe have gained increasing interest in introducing evaluation procedures into the healthcare sector, with hospitals representing a core area of application. Amid the growing financial and societal pressures, the operations, investments, and decisions of hospitals are in the focus of increasing attention. As manual evaluation is too expensive and time-consuming, performance evaluation is typically carried out electronically. Researchers, as well as practitioners, benefit from the rich literature in performance evaluation in three main ways. Firstly, performance measurements provide the basis for further improvement. By detecting specific weaknesses, management can take corrective actions. Furthermore, a comprehensive understanding of the institution's performance and success factors improves resource allocation and management. Finally, performance measurements and benchmarking are interesting for a vast variety of stakeholders, including hospital management, investors, payment systems, and healthcare policymakers. 2

In this review, we open a debate about the tools and techniques actually performed in practice by evaluators and hospital stakeholders utilizing metrics that refer to more than economic achievement, which is a clear patient outcome. By doing so, policymakers and evaluators will be informed about problems in the application of up-to-date theories, allow benchmarking and its criticism, and give incentives to future researchers to approach escape routes from the issues encountered. A first obstacle to such an approach could arise from the relevant dimensions to be considered in the evaluation, which are not exclusive to adopting a societal perspective. However, taken for good in an actual evaluation, employing purely economic, financial, and economic-financial indicators balances the message of this essay. Furthermore, economic-financial performance indicators help to reiterate how important it is for hospital managers to satisfy the needs of people who have a real interest in ensuring that given resources are well spent. Finally, stakeholders want to be reassured that an institution upon which healthcare depends performs well and fulfills its duty. 3

1.1. Importance and Purpose of Evaluating Institutional Performance

Evaluating institutional performance seems to be of major importance for service providers, their patients, and the authorities. In order to advance the operation of the healthcare delivery system, it is essential to continuously monitor so that a strong base for the decisions of the management based on the value for the patient, the smoothness of the hospital operation, and the simplification of networks in and around the hospital is warranted. Performance evaluation is necessary as an integrated quality system remains incomplete without an ongoing performance evaluation system. Performance evaluation is essential for providers as it is the stimulus for performance improvement, which is key to market survival and future progress. It is of interest to health policy makers and managers to have performance evaluations for, among other reasons, to ensure that a hospital remains competitive and to increase the number of patients using healthcare services.

There are reasons, which include the evaluation of performance as one of the main purposes to provide continuous and ongoing information that can be utilized in the conduct of other managerial activities and the management information system structure. The evaluation of performance figures is of particular relevance, as it is known that the procedure implemented in an institution is linked to the perspective of the observer or stakeholder. Finally, it is thought to favor the accountability and transparency of the healthcare facility, evaluation of the performance. A statewide evaluation of the performance of stakeholders is the result of a multitude of changes that have occurred in the healthcare industry. As others have observed, healthcare is a fast-paced, ever-changing environment

in which management must be appropriate to respond quickly. The system of steadily designed evaluations is needed to influence change. 4

2. Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) in Hospital Settings

KPIs, or Key Performance Indicators, are vital instruments used to assess organizational performance. KPIs are a form of measurement that can be quantified, setting a standard by which organizational performance can be gauged. While there are many possible KPIs, they can be classified into operational and clinical KPIs. Operational KPIs evaluate synergy between organizations and patients, while clinical KPIs evaluate efficiency. As an instrument used to measure organizational service, KPIs are useful for guiding decision-making by giving organizational managers feedback on a select group of indicators. In such a context, tools such as KPIs serve as a means of maintaining and enhancing service quality in an efficient and effective manner. One of the functions of state-of-the-art management strategy is to continuously monitor performance in relation to established goals. KPI selection defines not only the system's effectiveness but also the capability to respond to future demands. A study of evolving KPIs and their founding pyramid promotes more effective operation, which directs managers and professionals to the desired goals by addressing continuous quality improvements. By choosing performance indicators, one can gain insight into the situation. KPIs define the economics and the patient-health relationship, as well as the functioning of the system, which increases the relevance of KPIs in a hospital setting. The choice of performance indicators impacts organizational global performance and the final patient outcome. Surgical outcomes and market share impact hospital death rates, complications, and length of stay. Compliance with regulatory acts is essential. Often, hospitals use the following performance indicator: how to align this performance indicator with the strategic plan. 5

2.1. Types of KPIs Used in Hospital Performance Evaluation

For evaluating the performance of a hospital, there are numerous types of KPIs in use. The most widely used KPIs for hospital performance evaluation are those related to clinical measures, operational measures, financial measures, and measures of patient satisfaction. Utilizing different types of KPIs, a hospital or a healthcare system gets a complete view of its functioning rather than a limited view provided by a limited type of KPIs. The desired outcomes appear as clearly established KPIs, which align closely with achieving the aims and goals. Each hospital or healthcare setting mainly focuses on KPIs that assist in leading to their specific goals. A list of KPIs chosen may thus differ for various hospitals depending on patterns of their significant clinical problems. 6

The most commonly used KPIs in healthcare are the complication rate, mortality rate, readmission rate, and length of stay. Operational measures are important for evaluating hospital performance, including adverse drug events and average length of stay. Financial measures should be complemented by clinical and operational measures. A balanced approach to KPIs includes clinical, operational, financial, and patient satisfaction measures. Factors to consider when setting KPIs include alignment with hospital aims, potential for quality improvement, feasibility, and support from clinicians and management. Patient perspectives and targeted analysis can enhance care delivery and outcomes. 7

3. Data Collection and Analysis Methods

The evaluation of institutional performance is critical to hospital management. It gives feedback on different organizational processes, such as the quality of care, spending levels, or human resource policies. The data on which to base performance evaluation can be obtained from different sources, including patients, medical personnel, hospital administration, or insurance companies. Based on the source, different methods of data collection and analysis can be distinguished, which the following sections will introduce.

The choice of method mainly depends on the methodological perspective of researchers and health specialists related to the evaluated issue; however, using both may offer a fuller picture of the issue.

These two approaches can be elucidated by their assumptions, chosen type of analysis, or the research questions they answer. Data must be the most reliable measure since performance metrics are derived from them. Data and indicators should have the highest validity, which means that they measure what they were supposed to measure. The validity of methods heavily depends on data collection methods. There are different data collection methods. First, based on the data source, data that comes from hospital databases or Electronic Health Records can be distinguished from data gathered from patients through different kinds of surveys. Further, different surveys can be distinguished.

In quantitative analyses, statistical methods are used to describe data, test hypotheses, and identify linear relationships between variables. In this case, data from the patient surveys are used. All of the presented methods can give the hospital data that can be used to evaluate their performance. However, it should be noted that the final results depend not only on the correct methodology but also on the entire recruitment process to ensure data are the most valid and on the simple and understandable way they are presented to the public so that they are accepted. This paper underscores the pivotal role of data in creating valid performance metrics as part of the hospital's activities. 8

3.1. Quantitative vs. Qualitative Data in Performance Evaluation

Quantitative data are numerical, allowing for statistical analysis, ratios, rankings, and categorization. Qualitative data, on the other hand, are usually more descriptive and interpretive rather than quantitative, providing insights into the perceptions and experiences of patients. The information gathered is in texts, images, and voice records. Quantitative and qualitative data both have benefits and limitations. Quantitative data allow standardization, enhance reliability, are often easy to analyze, and can be used to benchmark. Adverse events are considered significant when their ratios, percentages, or number of occurrences are beyond the standard limit. The limitation of quantitative analysis is that it does not give us a deep understanding of the issues underlying serious events.

Qualitative data create an in-depth and comprehensive understanding of the patient complaint. Patients can convey their experience about what occurred to them and how they feel about it. Combining both data collection methods will provide a comprehensive performance evaluation of the hospital. The evaluation of patients' opinions and perceptions from qualitative research complements the information obtained from quantitative studies. A patient satisfaction quantitative survey might tell us we have issues with the emotional support provided by our staff. If they are probed in interviews, the contents are more comfortably unpacked. The process of triangulation between quantitative and qualitative data collection methods ensures that the research evidence obtained reflects multiple perspectives and that the evidence is balanced and robust. 9

4. Benchmarking and Best Practices in Hospital Performance

In addition to measuring and prioritizing hospital performance, executives can compare their performance to that of their peers. Benchmarking involves comparing results against established standards or against another organization's practices in order to foster improvements. "Best practices" can include methods and processes of achieving top performance while delivering excellent care and implementing top management processes. The Operation Committee may find that a comparable organization has higher performance and may elect to learn how benchmarking begins.

There are four types of benchmarking: federal, state, regulatory and accreditation, internal, best-in-class (cross industry), and external (between healthcare organizations). There are also various benchmarking methodologies, such as activity analysis, implementation best practices, and operational best practices. External benchmarking should be performed between the best-in-class organizations or organizations that are in the top 10 in a process area unless the organization has already written rankings. One reason to benchmark high-performing organizations, or best-in-class,

is that the organization has already achieved the performance and can be a model the benchmarking organization can follow. One model of good practice in the area of staffing, specifically nursing, is a recognized institution. Researchers used clinical quality and quantity databases derived from primary data to develop the model. Executives can use this model to forecast or benchmark their staffing needs. Benchmarking has its share of disappointments and pitfalls. Some pitfalls include not realizing that the first benchmark report will be the most complex and time-consuming, and not realizing that data from two institutions are nearly identical. Also, the "not-invented-here" attitude can prevent implementation of best practice. Another challenge is how one less successful organization can learn to be the best in a process area. Truly innovative organizations work at being unique. 10

4.1. Comparative Analysis and Benchmarking Techniques

Comparative analysis and a series of benchmarking techniques are used for performance evaluation across hospital organizations. Differences in performance are measured by automotive and program manufacturing facilities of the hospitals. Commonly, cross-sectional analyses use performance indicators to compare hospitals at a single point in time. However, to give meaningful results for wider audiences, the process and the outcome of care should complement each other, and the profile of patients being cared for should be considered. Hospital activity indicators should concentrate on patients and the work of health professionals in care provided. Different techniques have been used for the benchmarking of hospital performance. Normally, two methods are used for benchmarking: (i) inter or intra-organizational, and (ii) intra or extra-organizational.

Hospitals are encouraged to link their performance with their own characteristics and organizational hospital services, and where possible, the link should be based on an understanding of patient type. For instance, the characteristics of the hospital might include the specifics of its case mix, its service lines, or its operational configuration, including the size of the hospital and the number of beds. It is important to link any key patient type to reflect the hospital's patient mix and prioritize its activities. In the same way, it must reflect the equity standard in use in the performance measurement of outcomes. The utilization of quality health information on a consortium basis helps hospitals and health networks to develop their organizational performance profile. This information is of benefit for institutions in the short term. Outcome benchmarking is increasingly being developed for a range of social, game, and industrial outcomes, and in and out of the health system performance improvement initiatives. The evidence of the answers is presented to the stakeholders. In the hospital environment, performance-based evidence as well as process-based comparisons can be related to patient outcomes and hospital accreditation. The use of sequential outcomes to assess the performance of a third-round fellowship has been successful. Process-outgoing comparative reports for clinicians within hospitals are presented in various State Quality in Health Care reports, in the theory that the integration enables better use in management. A lot of comparisons do not give expected results. The reason could be because of the nature of the activities in health care, differences in severity of illness, geographical and sociodemographic factors of the hospital population, methods of data collection, and outcome data sources. These are all biases that influence the interpretation of outcomes over 12 months. Even for process organizational benchmarking, clinical indicators for the care of patients in the perioperative setting need to be modified to take into account the variation accounted for by patient selection. This need was illustrated by the fact that the clinical indicators used in a large adult teaching hospital in inner urban areas may not have been translated to similar other teaching hospitals. Additionally, patient compliance with recommended perioperative interventions may influence the care provided 11.

5. Patient-Centeredness and Quality of Care

Patient-centeredness is increasingly viewed as a critical element of the quality of care in hospitals and other healthcare organizations. It has been defined as including four key elements: respect for patients' values, preferences and expressed needs, coordination and integration of care, information, communication and education, physical comfort and emotional support. Patient-centered care is care

that is truly individualized, in other words as the provision of care in which the patient's needs and wishes are treated as a priority, while healthcare expertise itself is employed as the tool to achieve physical, psychological and social well-being. 12

The importance of involving patients in decisions about their care has been recognized as a central component of quality in healthcare defined largely in terms of the effectiveness and safety of intervention. Other approaches to the evaluation of patient-centeredness also focus on how far patient preferences are respected, such as the use of hospital complaints data. At one level, therefore, a major expression of patient benefit is demonstrably good outcomes, yet effective treatment is not in itself the only ingredient necessary in a definition that conveys the full value of good care. In this context, measuring patient experience is increasingly being warranted as a necessary undertaking by healthcare providers who strive to evaluate the quality of their services. This follows from the realization that, as healthcare consumers, patients are key stakeholders whose perceptions and expectations may shape the quality of healthcare services. Moreover, studies have shown associations between patient experience and society-reported outcomes, and revealed that patient survey data adds knowledge disadvantaged compared to only reporting patient outcomes makes successful growth a reality. The advantages of using patient surveys are thought to rest in the comprehension of patient interactions between outcomes; methodologies in the development of patient survey schemes are benchmarked, revealing that variations in patient experience reside at the ward or doctor level. When patient care is considered, successful change initiatives require that care is transferred to the level of these providers. Efforts in measuring patient involvement are also important in providing the backdrop to the drive for high-quality care for all initiative. It suggested that effective care entails engaging patients not merely as passive recipients but as active co-producers, which some health economies have taken on board since. As such, providing effective care that people fear to accept entails not just offering information but providing care that is shared in decision-making. Indeed, these are also the values and principles incorporated in the CARE approach. Among health services researchers, however, the extent to which patient involvement is an appropriate measure of healthcare quality is a source of continued debate. There is a lack of consensus on how patient-centeredness should be defined and assessed, and there are currently no widely agreed picture of healthcare standards. Accountability is still poorly defined and acknowledged 13.

5.1. Measuring Patient Satisfaction and Experience

Despite the complex nature of a patient experience, there has been an increased interest within the healthcare industry in moving towards consumer-oriented care. This subsection explores several methodologies of measuring institutional outcomes using patient satisfaction surveys collected by third-party vendors. The HCAHPS survey aims to capture patients’ experiences with their hospitalization, centered on communication with doctors, responsiveness of hospital staff, communication regarding medicines, cleanliness and quietness of the hospital environment, discharge care, overall hospital rating, recommendation, and a global satisfaction item. Similarly, the Press Ganey survey includes 21 questions, which are organized into eight dimensions: patient satisfaction, communication and caring, special items of care, responsiveness of the hospital, emotional support, physical comfort and medical care, environmental care, and assessment items. 14

The HCAHPS survey scores compare facilities and are correlated with outcomes like malpractice liability, global ratings, recommendation ratings, and rates of hospital-acquired conditions. Results are reviewed for budget justifications and presentations. Leadership uses the composite value to discuss performance and identify areas for improvement. The "bottom box" rating by department is an additional metric used for comparisons. Surveys result in praise and action plans for improvement. Performance impacts reimbursements, funding, grants, partnering, public relations, and reputation . 15

6. Financial Performance and Efficiency

For most hospitals, mission-related income from tax subsidies or philanthropy closely follows economic health rather than the other way around. To that end, many hospital financial indicators are used to judge practical economic health, financial management, and future sustainability. Managers and physicians often use these to evaluate the quality of decisions and programs. However, from a patient's perspective, several financial performance assessments such as cash on hand, net income, asset return, profit margin, and accumulated fund balance are of lesser concern than the service provided when sick and entering the hospital. Keeping mission-related income somewhat high is considered to be an operating objective only in that it signifies good financial performance.

In order to be paid, a hospital must do some specific things, such as maintaining its billing roster, required software or paper billing system, staff knowledge of insurance carrier billing guidelines, and other revenue cycle management activities. Since mismanaged billing offices can cripple a small hospital financially within months, many institutional boards frequent consumer satisfaction or 'customer service' results, reports, and surveys that would typically have a deemed sponsor more. Besides revenues, another frequently used hospital financial performance metric is the amount of impressed or governmental research, research grants, research salary, or the pursuit of additional research funding. Another metric refers to the hospital-wide agreement for faculty to provide patient care or technical assistance as 'service' to university units. Enhanced revenue is the anticipated return on selling 'service' funds out. Fundraising dollars are valued by many not-for-profit or charitable providers, both professionally and personally. To guarantee a worthy charitable purpose, the present case and future plans must be communicated immediately. The amount of the present-day financial subsidy provided should be of no importance to the decision-maker if the project seems good for society today and in the future. Using the first point above, fundraising in this project is akin to using the money of one set of citizens to help another set of citizens. It is unethical when bad performance is suggested by a relative ranking of an individual's overall financial performance assessment metric, particularly if other hospitals are not challenged or waived by the hopscotch process as they attempt to raise consumer service benchmarks.

Undoubtedly, board members are committed to seeing mission-related expenditures be as lean as feasible in order to drive as many of the charitable dollars as possible towards patient care. Yet, in hospitals, it seems counterintuitive to attempt to fit the values listed to a standard profit-making firm or outside investor model. Because improved efficiency is an effective way to conserve charitable dollars, it is noble and morally appealing. The first stage is to find valid, danger-adjusted performance measures that government or private payers or other charitable funding sources actually use for setting hospital-specific reimbursement. Once these are established, a system of internal financial metrics that anticipate or reflect government payor reimbursement may be established. Benchmarked results may be used to advocate efficiency-improving managerial and physician choices meant to maintain operational and/or clinical quality. The aim of this study is to rate performance for management officials' choices, either providing capitals amounting to an organizational budget cut, a division improvement effort, or a bonus award. Other minimal savings can be exploited to fund new start-up programs. 16

6.1. Cost-Effectiveness and Resource Utilization

In hospitals, it is crucial to assess treatment options for patients based on cost-effectiveness. Various strategies evaluate treatments for different diseases to determine if they are both beneficial and cost-effective. Aggressive treatments with higher costs and minimal medical effectiveness are not considered cost-effective according to this approach. Greater emphasis is now placed on using cost-effectiveness in health care research.

Hospitals must consider the economic perspective and resource allocation to meet targets. Stable staffing and efficient use of equipment are essential for quality management.

At the hospital, resource utilization is defined as staffing and use of equipment and other resources to produce clinical intervention. The severity of a case mix is influenced by additional resources, and patient satisfaction depends on response time. Balancing cost containment, efficiency, and quality can be challenging. Cost-effectiveness and patient outcomes are both important.

Efforts to fragment determinants of health production are increasing, seeking to determine their isolated effects on costs and outcomes. However, comprehensive cost-effectiveness approaches provide a more economical combination of resources for better health gain. Treatment expenditure can be seen as an investment, with a need to search for a cost-effective way to achieve more health intervention. Costs and outcomes should be assessed using a multi-criteria approach, leading to specific empirical techniques to study resource use and instigate practical cost-effectiveness studies. 17

7. Human Resources and Staff Performance

Healthcare quality relies on professionals. Hospital staff behavior affects outcomes. Performance metrics, like engagement indices, predict patient mortality. Staff-patient relationships influence satisfaction. nd, potentially, patient health outcomes. Evidence on the relationship between perceived quality of hospital administration and organizational performance is limited, although this is beginning to be of interest with the proliferation of hospital grading sites. If staff can influence patient perceptions and care processes, staff training and development are important in improving performance. Research has shown that hospital reliance on senior doctors is strongly related to clinical outcomes, and discharge planning by lower cadres of staff may have greater benefits. This finding, at a time of junior doctor strikes, is of great relevance. Ensuring adequate staffing levels is also key to patient outcomes. Executive health professionals agree that the provision of high-quality care is prioritized less in high-pressure workplace environments. Factors such as work pressure and poor staffing levels are commonly described in the literature as precipitants to error in medicine. Staff satisfaction has also been shown to be a key predictor of patient satisfaction. Point-of-sale satisfaction surveys can provide hospital managers with information about staff needs, and the development of staff training programs and staff training needs analyses are fundamental to effectiveness. A monthly, quarterly, or annual recruitment and retention message can transform staff morale and, in turn, performance. Workforce satisfaction has been linked to higher patient mortality in a number of studies for specific patient populations. Attracting, recruiting, and ultimately retaining high-caliber staff that fit the evidence base for good patient outcomes, training them well, and ensuring high levels of job satisfaction are important components of evaluating and continually improving hospital effectiveness. 18

7.1. Employee Engagement and Retention

One of the frequently discussed challenges in hospitals relates to the recruitment and retention of competent and satisfied staff. Employee engagement is strongly associated with employee retention. Employee engagement is an emotional commitment by the employee to the organization and its goals, resulting from an alignment between the organization, the core values it holds, and the individual’s own values and goals. Many hospitals are making significant efforts to create a positive organizational culture to engage the workforce. They do this for a number of reasons, including the knowledge that employee attitudes and behaviors affect the patient experience and clinical outcomes. Engaged staff respond positively to training through changes leading to better patient care. They also report an improved patient experience. Once the case for making a conscious effort to engage the workforce is established, the organization needs to work out how it should deliver this priority.

Many factors hinder staff retention. Staff development and necessary information for interventions are important. Retaining staff is crucial amid uncertainty and workforce challenges. Employee engagement can be measured with indicators.

Measuring employee engagement is done through the annual staff survey and the Staff Friends and

Family Test. It is important to maintain or increase staff engagement even during tough times. Practical actions at both the organizational and individual levels can help achieve this. Senior leaders in healthcare organizations see staff engagement as a way to improve performance and fulfill their duty of care. 19

8. Technological Innovation and Infrastructure

Technological innovation is crucial for hospitals and improving patient care. Better diagnostic equipment can lead to more accurate diagnoses and shorter treatment paths. Digital electronic health records have greatly improved information system processes in hospitals. Cheaper computing services have made reporting and database services more accessible.

EHR adoption in hospitals has grown rapidly over the past decade, consolidating previous innovations in infrastructure development. This expansion has allowed for the integration of health information systems across organizations. Technological solutions aimed at improving patient outcomes are being pursued, but there is a need for evidence to justify investment and resource allocation. Training staff to efficiently run advanced technology is also a challenge. The future of healthcare includes globalized and commercialized advancements in clinical and operational technology. 20

8.1. Adoption of Digital Health Solutions

Hospitals are increasingly adopting digital health solutions. These solutions can be utilized in various ways, such as for telemedicine, wearable devices and sensors, and mobile health applications. The underlying aim is to improve patient engagement and access to care. Digital health also facilitates coordination of services between different professionals and organizations. Many studies indicate that telemedicine, including telepsychiatry and remote patient monitoring, can have a major impact on reducing hospital admissions. Remote patient monitoring allows continuous monitoring of clinical and behavioral data such as vital signs, sleep patterns, self-reported health status, or other biometric data importantly collected outside of a clinical environment. 21

Wearable mobile health devices have numerous telehealth applications. For physicians, they can provide a rich source of data from which to monitor patient health and behavior. Moreover, wearable innovative technologies such as smartwatches and corresponding applications have profoundly contributed to the screening and early detection of a plethora of metabolic imbalances and chronic conditions such as Alzheimer's, multiple sclerosis, and migraines. However, wearables face challenges in engagement with patients, often stemming from concerns around privacy, security, data ownership, and control. The regulatory landscape is complex and closely follows the technological advances, and various regulatory bodies are working on how to best address these changes and specific features that are relevant to this fast-growing area of digital health. The adoption of digital health technology solutions also relies on increased technological capabilities, such as the use of cloud computing and big data analytics. Cloud computing can provide scalable and flexible capacity, while big data analytics has the potential to turn confidential health records and patient data into meaningful health insights for large populations. The use of digital health solutions in hospitals has further increased rapidly during the pandemic. 22

9. Ethical and Legal Considerations in Performance Evaluation

In some countries, the institutional evaluation of hospitals is required by law, and in other countries, several hospitals undergo voluntary evaluation. Nevertheless, ethical evaluations of organizations are only beginning to be developed, and there are no sets of ethical standards that may serve as a framework for researchers and practitioners. Performance evaluations in healthcare organizations, like hospitals, need to incorporate these ethical standards that respect rights and protect individuals during the evaluation processes. Of the many rights and protections, those that flow from the principle of informed consent or the transfer of data from one source to another are some of the most applicable to performance evaluations. In evaluation, the identified ethical issues can be seen as translating into the rights of all affected populations having access to the disclosed information

about performance on indicators.

Performance evaluations at organizational or institutional levels serve to make the evaluated organizations more accountable and transparent, more so in healthcare or other related domains, where increased transparency is seen as a key way to make organizations more responsive to the general public and/or patient needs. Healthcare organizations at operational levels are required to comply with all legal standards and procedures relevant to healthcare when trying to conduct evaluations or experiments because of their operational mandate. Due to the nature of hospital settings, where hospitals are themselves engaged in evaluation of experiments, a lot of evaluations within hospitals are also deemed to have a clinical or operational mandate and, as such, are required to comply with healthcare-based regulations. State-owned hospitals have an additional mandate of complying with state procedures, if any exist, in addition to the general healthcare mandate for any activity that is performed in the hospital settings and may impact clients or patients. 23

9.1. Patient Privacy and Confidentiality

Patient privacy and confidentiality have received a good deal of attention in recent years, particularly with the passage of federal laws. As a result, there is little doubt about the legal responsibility of staff to protect patient information from prying eyes. In addition, several information-sharing mechanisms have withheld data because of concerns about embarrassing staff who may be involved in quality or patient safety issues. While these are important concerns in designing data systems and determining how data are used, the concern about patient privacy is an entirely different matter.

Some writers have advised reviewers to use data managers as a means of obtaining the data. In this case, data analysts can retrieve performance data and perform analyses. Access to the raw data by hospital staff is then avoided, thus reducing the temptation to view data on one’s own hospital. Whatever technical method is chosen, attention must be paid to ensuring the accuracy and reliability of performance data. The most significant reason for maintaining the confidentiality of an evaluation is the potential breach of trust between patients and their healthcare facility. Many specific examples of breaches of patient trust have been reported. These have included sharing private, sensitive healthcare information without patient consent, improper use or handling of patient health records, and release of unauthorized patient health information.

Responding to these incidents and the numerous legal implications, healthcare institutions have enhanced their efforts to protect patient privacy. Ethical considerations support this action. During the collection of performance measurement data, all confidential patient information and patient-identifying information should be de-identified or encrypted. This method of access is considered far more ethical than refusing to allow the publication of hospital-specific data. Technology offers a variety of ways to ensure the anonymity of patient data. A broad range of data minimization methodologies for collecting patient-identifying information include using smart cards for check-in, employee badges for computer access, and typing ranges of patients scheduled for a certain test. More advanced statistical de-identification methods can also be employed. Staff members could be encouraged to sign an oath of confidentiality such as the oaths used in some mechanisms. 24

10. Challenges and Limitations in Evaluating Institutional Performance

There are a number of challenges and limitations that pose many problems in creating an accurate evaluation of hospital performance. Data is normally held across a variety of hospital information systems bridging the many departments and services that hospitals provide. This data is often inaccurate or unreliable, which doesn't assist in producing a valid outcome. This problem is further compounded by it being very difficult to obtain data across the many services that a hospital delivers. As a result, the available databases often contain data from services not relevant to the measurement process.

The regulatory regime demands public accountability and therefore performance should be measured, but as shown in this chapter, the task is neither easy nor straightforward. Measures are

required for all levels of the hospital, from the board through to the departmental level, across the range of services. The systems that are in place within an institution to evaluate performance are fragmented and tend to focus on measuring specific outputs such as emergency department waiting times or specific processes such as the outcomes of key clinical indicators. There is no comprehensive and integrated approach that provides a range of measures for managing the whole institution. Among staff or other stakeholders, resistance to change and incorporation of the measurements into performance appraisal systems can be a problem. Clinicians who are particularly influential in hospitals have had little exposure to the concepts of outputs and outcomes and consequently tend to direct their attention towards activities. A significant limitation with the many existing frameworks is that they are relatively narrow and primarily reflect output as opposed to outcomes. They do not adequately capture or comment on the performance of the hospital in a holistic and integrated manner or make note of the complexity of the operating environment. In the absence of such work, judgment of overall hospital financial and non-financial performance and guidance on appropriate approaches to performance monitoring is considered difficult, if not impossible. However, the measurement issues discussed here are not straightforward and are unlikely to evolve as quickly as our understanding in other areas of health services research. Improving the quality of performance measures will be a time-consuming evolutionary process and will require a system of continuous improvement in the use and understanding of performance measures. Only after overcoming many of these challenges can accurate monitoring of hospital performance be achieved. 2526

10.1. Data Accuracy and Reliability Issues

There are several issues related to data accuracy and reliability that can potentially skew evaluations of hospital performance. Inaccurate data can sway the quality of decisions made and measures taken in various directions that do not necessarily reveal the real state of affairs. It is critical for hospitals to exert due diligence to design robust data governance and quality assurance frameworks to ensure the accuracy of data at source. Accurate data collection can be improved by undertaking regular data audits in hospitals and performance monitoring systems. There should also be an option to train the staff on how to undertake accurate and reliable data collection to make them knowledgeable about the importance of data collection and motivate them to continue gathering accurate data. It may also be helpful if the collection of data in different countries is standardized so that accurate analysis, data sharing, and meta-analyses can be undertaken.

Poor data accuracy has implications for the number of performance metrics that can be performed accurately and efficiently, and hence the outcome used for evaluation of this metric will be less reliable. Inaccurate data will also affect the feedback given to the stakeholders in real time through data dashboards, thus creating confusion. Further, unless one can be certain about the reliability of a system that takes into consideration multiple indicators, this approach in the long term might not be very useful. Nevertheless, even if a few systems have good, accurate, and reliable data, a multiplicity of performance metrics can provide the hospital management with an overall big picture of what is happening in the hospital, as long as they are made aware that these indicators may not always represent the true value. Integrating systems and linking data can be very difficult considering current systems that are not well integrated, issues related to interoperability, and protection of patient data in some countries, meaning that getting the information required would require careful negotiations. There is also the matter of different data systems having different types of information, which can very easily get confused if they were not originally designed with sharing information with other systems in mind. 27

11. Future Directions and Emerging Trends in Hospital Performance Evaluation

There are a number of future directions and emerging trends present within the field of evaluating institutional performance. The advancements in technologies and emphasis on evidence-based outcomes and data-driven decision-making have significantly changed the face of performance evaluation in recent times. Methodologists designing performance measures ground those decisions

in the vision that the purpose of any such design is behavior change—either creating moral hazards or opportunities with the goal of using measures to influence clinical practice in the direction of evidence-based medicine and professional society practice guidelines. Products like the ratings, along with the diffusion of electronic medical records and data warehousing, have significantly increased the capacity to assess healthcare quality across multiple settings. There is a growing trend to shift from retrospective quality reporting to the prediction of healthcare. This demands a hybrid approach, which applies appropriate time series forecasting algorithms and, in conjunction, incorporates general frameworks for evaluating the predictions. Agreement of care episodes is not clearly defined on paper for performance evaluations, even though an episode-based approach may remedy concerns associated with work-up bias. Over time, the definitions and the respective tools will be created in a large process supported by achievements of the other trends.

Institutions of today need to integrate advances in technology so that they can position themselves for a place in global competition. These technologies can clearly surpass certain tasks now delegated to quality improvement, compliance, and research departments. Consequently, today's performance evaluation workforces may be carved out and spread across the institution. This shift of staff can be seen as a form of "right-sizing." The traditionally assigned roles of "outcomes analyst" and "operations research analyst" will definitely merge into a new hybrid professional who is part economist and part data scientist with expertise in machine learning applications in a hospital and healthcare setting. However, several barriers to creating these new roles and to spreading out the functions of today exist. The first barrier is the fact that hospitals and healthcare settings are under drastically evolving payment models and incentives. Risk-adjusted mortality rates and readmission ratios may or will be eliminated. These areas today are the primary focuses of evaluating institutional performance. The tides of the focus of healthcare are turning away from a focus on physicians to one on patients and healthcare consumers. Hospital performance will not be evaluated on disease conditions, but on the entire episode. Patient-generated data, starting with surveys, go well beyond the annual cycle typical of most data regimens. The second barrier includes the use of patients and consumers to access digital health tools for self-care and management. Predictive analysis of this bundled data, associated with not just conventional hospital readmission and risk-adjusted mortality indicators, but also post-acute care monitoring, is very promising for healthcare consumer management. The final barrier to advanced digital health tools adoption is the need for user interfaces that are user-friendly. 28

11.1. Predictive Analytics and Machine Learning Applications

Predictive analytics are applications that use historical data to forecast future outcomes. They consist of a variety of techniques, including data mining, statistics, modeling, and machine learning. It is an element of artificial intelligence but is not synonymous with machine learning and AI. Predictive analytics provide the ability to forecast trends in identifying rare events and function across a wide range of geographic and socioeconomic conditions. Early studies applied descriptive or predictive analysis for validating urban health care policy by comparing facilities' performance and adopting integrated care paths. However, no similar predictive analysis applications have been developed for hospital performance assessments; these are common in operational administrative systems optimized with business intelligence applications. Machine learning algorithms and data mining can be used to improve predictive performance. Predictive maintenance, feature selection and ranking, forensic analysis, risk management, customer relationship management, fraud detection, treatment effect estimation, and cost of care management are different applications of predictive analytics and machine learning in health care.

Rapid analytics methods, from true electronic health record data at the point of treatment, have become feasible. All of the above trends are reflected in some case studies conducted in recent years. In summary, only a few predictive models have been validated to the point of actual hospital performance improvements in a competitive environment. Adoption of predictive analytics and machine learning is challenging due to data privacy concerns and the need for academics, clinicians, and IT professionals to work across interdisciplinary boundaries. Privacy and generating real-time

ad hoc insights via machine learning to develop personalized care paths is an area of emerging interest. 29

References:

1. Specchia ML, Cozzolino MR, Carini E, Di Pilla A, Galletti C, Ricciardi W, Damiani G. Leadership styles and nurses' job satisfaction. Results of a systematic review. International journal of environmental research and public health. 2021 Feb;18(4):1552. mdpi.com
2. Spieske A, Gebhardt M, Kopyto M, Birkel H. Improving resilience of the healthcare supply chain in a pandemic: Evidence from Europe during the COVID-19 crisis. Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management. 2022 Dec 1;28(5):100748. nih.gov
3. Eisman AB, Quanbeck A, Bounthavong M, Panattoni L, Glasgow RE. Implementation science issues in understanding, collecting, and using cost estimates: a multi-stakeholder perspective. Implementation Science. 2021 Aug 3;16(1):75. springer.com
4. Feyal J, Matthews R. Quality evaluation of true random bit-streams in ransomware payload bytecode. Authorea Preprints. 2024. techrxiv.org
5. Chatzikou M. The Evaluation of Key Performance Indicators for Medical and Digital Technologies in Healthcare. InIntelligent Technologies for Healthcare Business Applications 2024 Aug 13 (pp. 93-117). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland. [HTML]
6. Gartner JB, Lemaire C. Dimensions of performance and related key performance indicators addressed in healthcare organisations: A literature review. The International journal of health planning and management. 2022 Jul;37(4):1941-52. [HTML]
7. Burlea-Schiopoiu A, Ferhati K. The managerial implications of the key performance indicators in healthcare sector: A cluster analysis. Healthcare. 2020. mdpi.com
8. Gilson LL. Why be creative: A review of the practical outcomes associated with creativity at the individual, group, and organizational levels. Handbook of organizational creativity. 2024. [HTML]
9. Amiri M, Hashemi-Tabatabaei M, Ghahremanloo M, Keshavarz-Ghorabaee M, Zavadskas EK, Antucheviciene J. A new fuzzy approach based on BWM and fuzzy preference programming for hospital performance evaluation: A case study. Applied Soft Computing. 2020 Jul 1;92:106279. vilniustech.lt
10. Denkler T. Accreditation in Europe: benchmarking the operations of European accreditation bodies using an innovative management tool. Accreditation and Quality Assurance. 2021. springer.com
11. Asiamah N, Opuni FF, Mensah HK. The nexus between internal marketing in hospitals and organizational commitment: Incorporating the mediation roles of key job characteristics. International Journal of Healthcare Management. 2020 Dec 15. academia.edu
12. Tran BQ. Strategies for effective patient care: Integrating quality communication with the patient-centered approach. Social and Personality Psychology Compass. 2021. [HTML]
13. Churruca K, Pomare C, Ellis LA, Long JC, Henderson SB, Murphy LE, Leahy CJ, Braithwaite J. Patient-reported outcome measures (PROMs): a review of generic and condition-specific measures and a discussion of trends and issues. Health Expectations. 2021 Aug;24(4):1015-24. wiley.com
14. Gallan AS, Niraj R, Singh A. Beyond HCAHPS: Analysis of patients' comments provides an expanded view of their hospital experiences. Patient Experience Journal. 2022. pxjournal.org

15. Quigley DD, Elliott MN, Qureshi N, Predmore Z, Hays RD. How the CAHPS clinician and group patient experience survey data have been used in research: a systematic review. Journal of Patient-Centered Research and Reviews. 2024 Jul 16;11(2):88. nih.gov

16. Mulligan K, Choksy S, Ishitani C, Romley JA. What do nonprofit hospitals reward? An examination of CEO compensation in nonprofit hospitals. Plos one. 2022. plos.org

17. Yang F, Liao M, Wang P, Yang Z, Liu Y. The cost-effectiveness of kidney replacement therapy modalities: a systematic review of full economic evaluations. Applied Health Economics and Health Policy. 2021 Mar;19:163-80. springer.com

18. Lin FP, Visina JM, Bloomer PM, Dunn MA, Josbeno DA, Zhang X, Clemente-Sanchez A, Tevar AD, Hughes CB, Jakicic JM, Duarte-Rojo A. Prehabilitation-driven changes in frailty metrics predict mortality in patients with advanced liver disease. Official journal of the American College of Gastroenterology| ACG. 2021 Oct 1;116(10):2105-17. [HTML]

19. Akdere M, Egan T. Transformational leadership and human resource development: Linking employee learning, job satisfaction, and organizational performance. Human Resource Development Quarterly. 2020. [HTML]

20. Everson J, Rubin JC, Friedman CP. Reconsidering hospital EHR adoption at the dawn of HITECH: implications of the reported 9% adoption of a “basic” EHR. Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association. 2020 Aug;27(8):1198-205. oup.com

21. Peters GM, Kooij L, Lenferink A, Van Harten WH, Doggen CJ. The effect of telehealth on hospital services use: systematic review and meta-analysis. Journal of medical internet research. 2021 Sep 1;23(9):e25195. jmir.org

22. Peek N, Sujan M, Scott P. Digital health and care in pandemic times: impact of COVID-19. BMJ Health & Care Informatics. 2020. nih.gov

23. Grossi G, Kallio KM, Sargiacomo M, Skoog M. Accounting, performance management systems and accountability changes in knowledge-intensive public organizations: a literature review and research agenda. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal. 2020 Jan 17;33(1):256-80. unich.it

24. Keshta I, Odeh A. Security and privacy of electronic health records: Concerns and challenges. Egyptian Informatics Journal. 2021. sciencedirect.com

25. Northrup JM, Vander Wal E, Bonar M, Fieberg J, Laforge MP, Leclerc M, Prokopenko CM, Gerber BD. Conceptual and methodological advances in habitat-selection modeling: guidelines for ecology and evolution. Ecological Applications. 2022 Jan;32(1):e02470. wiley.com

26. Varadarajan R, Welden RB, Arunachalam S, Haenlein M, Gupta S. Digital product innovations for the greater good and digital marketing innovations in communications and channels: Evolution, emerging issues, and future research directions. International Journal of Research in Marketing. 2022 Jun 1;39(2):482-501. [HTML]

27. Zhou J, Gandomi AH, Chen F, Holzinger A. Evaluating the quality of machine learning explanations: A survey on methods and metrics. Electronics. 2021. mdpi.com

28. Qiu L, Kumar S, Sen A, Sinha AP. Impact of the Hospital Readmission Reduction Program on hospital readmission and mortality: An economic analysis. Production and Operations Management. 2022 May;31(5):2341-60. ssrn.com

29. Mahmoudi E, Kamdar N, Kim N, Gonzales G, Singh K, Waljee AK. Use of electronic medical records in development and validation of risk prediction models of hospital readmission: systematic review. bmj. 2020 Apr 8;369. bmj.com