AMERICAN JOURNAL OF BIOMEDICINE AND PHARMACY
https://biojournals.us/index.php/AJBP
ISSN: 2997-7177

Article

The Role Of Philosophy In Modern Medicine: From Clinical Reasoning To Bioethical Decision-Making

Gulnara Dekhkanbaevna Kochkorova[1]

Fergana State Technical University, Uzbekistan. PhD, Associate Professor
*Correspondence: gulnaraqochqorova2022@gmail.com

Citation: Gulnara Dekhkanbaevna Kochkorova. The Role Of Philosophy In Modern Medicine: From Clinical Reasoning To Bioethical Decision-Making. American Journal of Biomedicine and Pharmacy 2026, 3(11), 128-131.

Received: 10th Aug 2026
Revised: 11th Sep 2026
Accepted: 10th Oct 2026
Published: 20th Nov2026

Copyright: © 2026 by the authors. Submitted for open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Abstract: This article explores the methodological, epistemological, and axiological roles of philosophy in advancing modern medical science and clinical practice. In an era marked by rapid healthcare technologization and the integration of artificial intelligence, the risk of dehumanizing the therapeutic process is increasing. A theoretical and methodological analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between philosophical knowledge, clinical reasoning, and bioethical principles. The findings demonstrate that philosophy provides the foundation for a holistic view of the patient, aids in resolving complex moral dilemmas, and enhances the accuracy of diagnostic decision-making.

Keywords: philosophy of medicine, clinical reasoning, bioethics, holistic approach, medical deontology, diagnostic methodology.

Introduction

Historically, medicine and philosophy developed in close unity. Hippocrates famously stated that "a physician who is a lover of wisdom is the equal of a god," emphasizing the necessity of deeply understanding human nature for successful healing [1]. However, throughout the 20th and 21st centuries, the rapid expansion of narrow medical subspecialties, molecular genetics, and high-tech diagnostic imaging has led to a partial alienation of clinicians from the humanities.

The relevance of this study stems from the fact that contemporary medicine faces challenges that cannot be resolved solely through technical means. These include issues surrounding end-of-life care, organ transplantation, genetic editing, and the allocation of limited healthcare resources [2]. The objective of this paper is to define the core functions of philosophy in shaping the clinical reasoning and ethical culture of the modern physician.

Materials and Methods

This study employed general scientific and philosophical methods of inquiry. Historical and logical analyses were used to trace the evolution of mind-body concepts in medicine, ranging from ancient holism to Cartesian dualism. Systemic and axiological approaches were applied to evaluate the impact of core bioethical principles—autonomy, beneficence, non-maleficence, and justice—on clinical decision-making, particularly within high-stakes fields such as oncology and medical radiology.

The theoretical basis of the study was formed by the works of local and foreign scholars, whose positions were not simply reviewed but critically compared with the author's own view. Among scholars of Central Asia and the post-Soviet space, the study relies on Abu Ali ibn Sino (Avicenna) [3], who in the "Canon of Medicine" presented the physician as a unity of knowledge, practical skill, and moral responsibility and considered the treatment of the body inseparable from care for the soul. The author regards this work as the historical root of the holistic approach in the region and as the reference point for the stage of "ancient holism" in the historical analysis. The works of B.G. Yudin and P.D. Tishchenko [4], founders of the Russian school of bioethics, were used to understand bioethics as an interdisciplinary field; the author agrees with their view of bioethics as a form of public dialogue, but notes that this model emerged in a different socio-cultural context and needs adaptation to the family-centered values of Uzbek society. The position of I.V. Siluyanova [5], who grounds medical deontology in moral and spiritual values, is considered by the author as valuable for its emphasis on the moral responsibility of the physician; however, the author does not adopt its confessional framing and relies instead on a secular axiological approach. The author's own earlier studies of the influence of artificial intelligence and virtual reality on education and human consciousness [6], [7] served as the starting point for assessing how new technologies change clinical perception, and this line of research is extended here to the sphere of medicine.

Among foreign scholars, the principlist framework of T.L. Beauchamp and J.F. Childress [8] was adopted as the main analytical tool; at the same time, the author critically notes that its emphasis on patient autonomy reflects an individualistic Western tradition and does not always fit the realities of Central Asian healthcare. The author is closest to E.D. Pellegrino and D.C. Thomasma [9], who ground medicine in the moral relationship of healing and in the virtues of the physician, and to H.R. Wulff et al. [10], whose analysis of the logic of diagnosis was used to substantiate the methodological function of philosophy. G.L. Engel's biopsychosocial model [11] is regarded by the author as the key argument against reductionism in medicine. The position of H.T. Engelhardt [12], who defends secular moral pluralism and procedural consent, is only partly shared: the author accepts the need for tolerance in moral disputes but considers a purely procedural ethics insufficient for clinical practice. The works of E.J. Cassell [13] on the nature of

suffering and of A. Kleinman [14] on the distinction between disease and illness were used to substantiate the axiological dimension of the physician's work, and the ideas of G. Canguilhem [15] on norm and pathology helped to critically assess the "objectivity" of instrumental data. In general, the author's attitude toward these scholars is one of selective agreement: their ideas are used as complementary rather than competing, with the aim of combining the Eastern humanistic tradition with modern Western bioethics.

Results and discussion

The analysis identified four fundamental functions of philosophy within modern medical knowledge, which are systematized in Table I.

TABLE I. CORE FUNCTIONS OF PHILOSOPHY IN CONTEMPORARY MEDICAL PRACTICE

Function of Philosophy	Manifestation in Medical Practice	Practical Outcome for the Physician
Methodological	Structuring the logic of diagnostic search and differential diagnosis.	Reduced risk of diagnostic errors and overdiagnosis.
Epistemological	Evaluating the limits of reliability in diagnostic modalities (CT, MRI, lab tests).	Critical interpretation of instrumental and laboratory data.
Axiological (Value-based)	Prioritizing patient life, dignity, and quality of life over purely technical success.	Fostering a humanistic attitude and clinical empathy.
Bioethical	Resolving moral conflicts in palliative care and complex medical interventions.	Making legally and morally sound clinical decisions.

The study established that mastery of philosophical methodology helps physicians overcome a fragmented perception of disease. Rather than treating an isolated pathological lesion visible on a scan or lab report, the clinician addresses the human organism as an integrated whole, accounting for psychological and social factors.

Discussion

These findings align closely with contemporary frameworks of personalized and evidence-based medicine. As artificial intelligence algorithms increasingly assume routine tasks in medical image analysis and laboratory screening, philosophical and ethical reflection remains the exclusive domain of the human physician [16].

In fields such as oncology and radiation therapy, specialists must constantly balance aggressive, curative interventions against the preservation of a patient's quality of life. In this context, philosophy serves as a vital tool for self-reflection, protecting clinicians from professional burnout and a mechanistic approach to human suffering [17]. A limitation of this theoretical review is the absence of empirical sociological survey data among practicing clinicians, which presents a promising avenue for future research.

Conclusion

Philosophy in medicine is not an abstract theoretical discipline, but an indispensable practical instrument of clinical reasoning. It synthesizes fragmented data from high-tech diagnostics into a coherent clinical picture while establishing moral guidelines for medical

practice. Integrating philosophical and bioethical training into clinical residency programs is essential for cultivating competent, thoughtful, and compassionate physicians.

References

[1] Hippocrates, "Precepts," in *Hippocrates, Vol. I*, W. H. S. Jones, trans. Cambridge, MA, USA: Harvard Univ. Press, 1923, pp. 303 ff.

[2] A. R. Jonsen, M. Siegler, and W. J. Winslade, *Clinical Ethics: A Practical Approach to Ethical Decisions in Clinical Medicine*, 8th ed. New York, NY, USA: McGraw-Hill, 2015.

[3] Ibn Sino (Avicenna), *Canon of Medicine* [Kanon vrachebnoi nauki], vols. 1–5. Tashkent, Uzbekistan: Fan, 1979–1982.

[4] B. G. Yudin and P. D. Tishchenko, *Introduction to Bioethics* [Vvedenie v bioetiku]. Moscow, Russia: Progress-Traditsiya, 1998, 384 p.

[5] I. V. Siluyanova, *Bioethics in Russia: Values and Laws* [Bioetika v Rossii: tsennosti i zakony]. Moscow, Russia: Grant, 2001.

[6] G. D. Kochkorova and D. B. Irmatova, "The role of artificial intelligence in education" [Rol' iskusstvennogo intellekta v obrazovanii], *Journal of Integrated Education and Research*, vol. 2, no. 5, pp. 59–64, 2023. [Online]. Available: https://ojs.rmasav.com/index.php/ojs/article/view/1145

[7] G. Kochkorova, D. Irmatova, and D. Abdurasulova, "Association of virtual reality into human consciousness," *International Bulletin of Applied Science and Technology*, vol. 3, no. 10, pp. 326–329, 2023.

[8] T. L. Beauchamp and J. F. Childress, *Principles of Biomedical Ethics*, 8th ed. Oxford, U.K.: Oxford Univ. Press, 2019, 512 p.

[9] E. D. Pellegrino and D. C. Thomasma, *A Philosophical Basis of Medical Practice: Toward a Philosophy and Ethic of the Healing Professions*. New York, NY, USA: Oxford Univ. Press, 1981, 341 p.

[10] H. R. Wulff, S. A. Pedersen, and R. Rosenberg, *Philosophy of Medicine: An Introduction*, 2nd ed. Oxford, U.K.: Blackwell Scientific, 1990, 222 p.

[11] G. L. Engel, "The need for a new medical model: A challenge for biomedicine," *Science*, vol. 196, no. 4286, pp. 129–136, 1977.

[12] H. T. Engelhardt, *The Foundations of Bioethics*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Oxford Univ. Press, 1996.

[13] E. J. Cassell, *The Nature of Suffering and the Goals of Medicine*, 2nd ed. New York, NY, USA: Oxford Univ. Press, 2004.

[14] A. Kleinman, *The Illness Narratives: Suffering, Healing, and the Human Condition*. New York, NY, USA: Basic Books, 1988.

[15] G. Canguilhem, *The Normal and the Pathological*. New York, NY, USA: Zone Books, 1991.

[16] E. J. Topol, "High-performance medicine: The convergence of human and artificial intelligence," *Nature Medicine*, vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 44–56, 2019, doi: 10.1038/s41591-018-0300-7.

[17] T. D. Shanafelt, O. Hasan, L. N. Dyrbye, C. Sinsky, D. Satele, J. Sloan, and C. P. West, "Changes in burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance in physicians and the general U.S. working population between 2011 and 2014," *Mayo Clinic Proceedings*, vol. 90, no. 12, pp. 1600–1613, 2015.